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Survey Goals and Methodology

- Experiential Coursework Requirement
- CSALE
- Our Survey
Initial Findings: Curricular Changes

- Upper Level Curriculum Changes:
  - More than 2/3 of respondent schools changed their upper-level curriculum in some way to respond to the experiential coursework requirement.
Initial Findings: Curricular Changes

• **1L Curriculum Changes:**
  • 77% of respondent schools have not changed their 1L curriculum as a result of the experiential coursework requirement.
  • About 23% of respondent schools offer experiential courses for 1L students. This statistic does not include LRW courses.
Initial Findings: Labels

- Respondent schools use a variety of labels for experiential courses in addition to *clinics, simulations*, and *field placements*.
Initial Findings: Labs

- More than 1/3 of respondent schools offer labs.
  - Defined as...
  - Subject Areas
  - Freestanding vs. Attached
  - Enrollment
  - Credits
  - Grading
  - Instructors
  - Student-Faculty Ratio
Initial Findings: Practicums

- Nearly 60% of respondent schools offer *practicums*.
  - Defined as...
  - Subject Areas
  - Freestanding vs. Attached
  - Enrollment
  - Credits
  - Grading
  - Instructors
  - Student-Faculty Ratio

![Chart showing distribution of practicum types](chart.png)
Initial Findings: Experiential Education Administration

- The majority of Experiential Education Administrators at our institutions are "Directors."
  - Other roles include Deans (Vice, Associate, Assistant) and Program Coordinators.
  - Oversight duties may also fall to other institutional administrators: Deans for Academic Affairs, Career Services.
  - Some respondent schools report oversight by committee.
Initial Findings: Experiential Course Approval Process

- More than 75% of respondent schools report that their course approval process is the same for experiential and non-experiential courses.
- Of the ¼ report differences, the processes vary.
Surfacing Common Themes

• *How* are institutions introducing changes due to the experiential coursework requirement?
• *Where* are the opportunities in the 1L curriculum to introduce experiential coursework?
• *How* can approval and evaluation processes for new and existing experiential courses inspire innovation and ensure excellence?
Another Typology for Experiential Offerings

- Course with Short, Bounded Legal Work (Pop-Up; Intersession; Summer Term)
- Experiential Add-on to a Podium or Seminar Course
- External Adjunct Practicums (External Case Work & Seminar)
Shared Goals of Clinics and Externships

• Developing a Professional Identity
• Developing a Contextual Understanding of Client’s Legal Problems
• Developing a Critical Understanding of Legal Process
• Developing Capacity to *Think* as a Lawyer
• Developing Capacity to *Act* as a Lawyer
• Developing Capacity to *Learn* as a Lawyer
## Characteristics & Methodology of Clinics

- **Student experience *doing* real legal work**
  - Professor/clinic supervisor controls site and scope of legal intern’s role
- **Student experience *learning* from doing real legal work**
  - Integrated learning centered in the law school
- **Faculty role in teaching:**
  - Learning goals
  - Seminar (number of credits)
  - Supervision (unitary)
  - Rounds
- **Faculty role in clinic design:**
  - Case/project selection
  - Course structure
Characteristics & Methodology of Externships

- Student experience *doing* real legal work
  - Off-site; placement supervisor controls scope of Legal Intern’s role
- Student experience *learning* from doing real legal work
  - Dual sites; learning in the field / law school
- Faculty role in teaching:
  - Learning goals and office work goals
  - Seminar (number of credits)
  - Supervision (dual - in the field / law school)
  - Rounds (on supervision v. on substance)
- Faculty role in clinic design
  - Placement office selection by professor; case/project selection by on-site supervisor
  - Course structure
Simulation Goals and Characteristics

- Students experience *doing simulated* legal work with significant period to perform (cf. hypothetical); *simple, complex, extended* (Ferber, *Adult Learning Theory*, 9 Clin. L. Rev. at 418)
- Variable student role: lawyer, client, judge, mediator, etc.
- **Abstracted reality**—focus on phase(s) of lawyering/representation
- Role of **drama**—fiction as nonfiction (e.g., educational theater—actor as teacher)
- **Faculty role/control**: author, director, expert, supervisor
- **Novice, ‘first-level errors’**
- As **preparation** for actual/live-client work
- Context/varying levels of **uncertainty**—facts, law, application of law to facts
“The novice should not be asked to exercise judgment or interpret a situation as a whole. Instead, the novice must learn to recognize certain well-defined elements of the situation and apply precise and formal rules to these elements, regardless of what else is happening. Following the rules allow for a gradual accumulation of experience. But in order to progress, the student has to attend to the features of the context, events that occur even outside the rules.”

Sullivan, et al.,
Educating Lawyers at 137
Institutional Questions

Role of various institutional actors (directors, deans, coordinators) in coordination, assessment, monitoring

Experiential (sub)committee?

Effect of new labs, practica, hybrids, etc., on existing offerings

Coverage/faculty workload

Increased offerings and the curriculum writ large: learning outcomes, 1L, “marble” v. “layer” cake models
Assessment Process 1:

Identify Learning Goals

- What goals are achievable & unachievable?
- Professional Identity
- Contextual Understanding
- Critical Understanding
- Capacity to Think, Act & Learn
Assessment Process 2:
Identify Methodology Questions

- Supervision of Legal Work
  - Who supervises
  - Method (indirect or direct)
  - Structure (where and when)

- Classroom
  - Incorporate goal setting and reflection; theory and practice
  - Connect seminar with legal work
  - Method (rounds, present, discuss)

- Course Structure
  - Timing and type of lawyering experience
  - Student role and supervisor role
  - Frequency and content of seminar
Assessment Process 3:

Identify Status & Resource Challenges

- Law School Supervisor/Field Supervisor
- Job security; compensation; governance rights
- Tenure track; contract; fellow; visitor; adjunct
- Doctrinal teaching; clinical teaching; both
- Experiential Program
- Base budgeted (hard money)
- Need to fundraise (soft money)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Form</th>
<th>Form groups of 4 (~1 min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Have</td>
<td>Have each group member share a 1-minute description of a curricular innovation at their school, and then pick one to focus on (~5 min)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Using</td>
<td>Using the assessment process, discuss learning goals, methodology, and challenges of the new experiential course (~10 min)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consider</td>
<td>Consider the institutional questions presented by the new experiential course (~4 min)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Assessment Considerations

**GOALS**
- Professional Identity
- Contextual Understanding
- Critical Understanding
- Capacity to Think, Act & Learn

**CHALLENGES**
- Professor/Field Supervisor (status)
- Experiential Program (funding)

**METHODOLOGY**
- Supervision of Legal Work
- Classroom
  - Goal setting and reflection
  - Connect seminar with legal work
  - Methods (rounds, presentations)
- Structure
  - Timing/Type of Lawyering
  - Student role & supervisor role
  - Frequency & content of seminar
Institutional Challenges

- Role of various institutional actors in assessment/monitoring
- Directors, deans, coordinators
- Experiential committee/subcommittee
- Relationship to learning outcomes
Small Group Feedback / Concluding Thoughts

What was the curricular innovation you discussed? (1 min)

What was your assessment of the innovation? (1 min)

What challenges & opportunities did the innovation present? (1 min)